

# ROUNDTABLE ON THE FUTURE OF CASM

28-29 JUNE 2010 WASHINGTON D.C.

Stephen D'Esposito, President Jennifer Peyser, Senior Mediator



## Roundtable Objectives

- Explore vision and mandate for CASM or new entity responding to key ASM challenges over the next decade
- Identify criteria/options/models for governance, funding, and an institutional home
- Discuss timeline and process for moving forward refine option(s); stakeholder consultation and consensus building; other preparation for the September CASM meeting; steps to define business plan



## Meeting Ground Rules

- Be forward-looking and solution-oriented
- Focus on issues, not individuals or organizations
- Make room for comments and ideas from all
- Keep focused on the group conversation
- Respect time and use tent cards



## Working Assumptions

- Not a formal CASM meeting
- Shared recognition of need, importance of ASM issues
- Acknowledge past accomplishments & challenges meeting looks forward
  - \$1 million annual budget
  - Over 30 partner agencies
  - Active in over 25 countries
  - 120,000 annual website hits
  - Growing attendance at ACC
  - Effective networking, information sharing



## Working Assumptions

- Question of impact
- CASM "sub-critical" scale
- Governance unclear
- Status quo (including funding) in jeopardy, CASM as currently organized unlikely to continue
  - New Mandate, Role, Mission
  - Need a Business Plan
- Build on CASM assets, build <u>CASM 2.0</u>



### Visioning a New Response to ASM Issues A Framework

#### Define Problem:

- Formalization/Rights (New Law, Policy, Organizing)
- Sustainable Development (Resources, Partnerships)
- Issues & Situational Responsiveness (Policy Framework, Project Capacity, Resources, Tools)

#### Beneficiaries:

- Small Miners/Communities
- Governments & National Economies
- LSM

#### Nature of Needs:

- Information Sharing (Network Structure)
- Policy Gaps (Policy and/or Advocacy Capacity)
- Solutions in the Field (Project Delivery Structure)



### Visioning a New Response to ASM Issues Feedback

- Repository of best practice
- Capacity to support action on issues
- Models for political and regulatory frameworks
- Models for voluntary initiatives: e.g. support for ethical supply chains, certification
- Responsive to issues (conflict, mercury/cyanide, health, women's issues, conflicts with LSM)
- Emergency issue and site response mechanisms
- Access to resources (micro-finance, market incentives)



## Visioning a New Response to ASM Issues Potential Elements of a Mission

- Promote sustainable development; with responsible ASM as one route; advance formalization were
   ASM can support sustainable development
- Leaders in ASM policy frameworks and policy tools
- On-the-ground solutions capacity; including technical expertise
- Convene necessary actors and possess expertise to respond to issue challenges
- Access to resources to support ASM solutions



### Visioning a New Response to ASM Issues Guidance Questions

- 1. What's the need? What are the key challenges or roadblocks to solutions related to sustainable development for the ASM sector?
- 2. Which of these needs or challenges represent gaps in capacity, coordination, or other support?
- 3. Where are the most promising opportunities to respond to gaps or enhance existing efforts?
- 4. Based on the overall landscape of ASM needs and opportunities, what might constitute a compelling mission statement?



### Scope & Role Feedback

- Network & training base (status quo + new capacity)
- Added capacity:
  - Repository of best practice
  - Develop policy tools and solutions
  - Implement solutions in the field
  - Access to experts
  - Source of resources, finance, incentives for solutions

#### Questions:

- Advocacy?
- Consultancy services?
- Rapid response capacity?



# Scope & Role Guidance Questions

- What scope or issue sets will be addressed?
- What should be the role of a new entity or type of services/support offered?
- What specifically would you like to see a new entity accomplish in the next decade? What would be the measures of success?
- Does this suite of scope, role, and outcomes inform an overall mandate that would be compelling to the range of potential partners and sectors?



# Governance Input on Institutional Home

- □ Government relations "entrée," status, reputation
- Access international and regional/country level networks
- Good reputation, impartial
- Not benefiting any one sector/interest
- Effective, responsive administrative capacity: able to manage finances, membership, with transparency but not bureaucratic and slow
- Builds donor confidence
- Meeting and facilitation skills



# Governance Nature of Board/Steering Committee

- Tripartite/multi-stakeholder partnership:
   agencies/government; ASM and civil society; and industry
- Funders participate, but not funder driven



## Governance Examples

- UNEP Global Mercury Partnership ("but more dynamic")
- Cyanide Code Management Institute
- Certification initiatives such as Better Cotton, FSC, etc.
- Business for Biodiversity Offset Program (some aspects only)
- Water and Sanitation Program of the World Bank



# Governance Guidance Questions

- 1. What should be the principles in designing a governance structure?
- 2. What are the key criteria or desirable characteristics of an organization (or organizations) that could provide an institutional home?
- How would you craft a business case / story for core + program funding?
- Prepare 5-minute maximum report to the full group on 1 3 priority ideas for each of the above questions.



### Funding Mechanisms – Feedback on General Principles

- Seek diversity of funding
- Establish long term mechanism
- Solicit funds to respond to donor interest in specific issues (e.g. mercury) as well as general funds
- Should be funded in part by relevant host country governments - test of resolve to engage
- Seek private funds (although some concerns expressed)
- Explore potential "earned-income" project streams



## Funding Mechanisms Options & Ideas

- Trust Fund
- □ Direct bi-lateral
- Project-specific funding
  - Become a technical expertise body organizations agree to work on specific projects with donor funding for core costs
- In-kind support for projects, country- or site-based work
- Membership/dues
- The donor community and private sector may choose to contribute based on host country commitment, actions and success
- Earned, project based income (i.e. hybrid consultancy)



## Funding Mechanisms Need a Business Case

- Need mandate, business case, evidence of strong management and focus on key programmatic areas and meet the principles of:
  - Action oriented
  - Measurable results performance evaluations
  - □ Tripartite or multi-sector governance a partnership



## Funding Mechanisms Guidance Questions

- 1. Given vision, mission, and criteria/desired characteristics of housing and governance, what are potential funding mechanisms?
- 2. Are there existing funding mechanisms that could serve as a model?
- 3. Are the proposed funding mechanisms sustainable and aligned with the mission?

## RESOLVE

Jennifer Peyser

ipeyser@resolv.org

Stephen D'Esposito

sdesposito@resolv.org

(202)944-2300 www.resolv.org